Case 6:07-cv-00511-LED Document 289-7 Filed 11/25/09 Page 1 of 10 PageID #: 8055

ATTACHMENT 6--DEFENDANT'S SUBMISSION,

Deleted: DISCUSSION DRAFT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

SOVERAIN SOFTWARE LLC,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Case No. 6:07-CV-00511-LED
CDW CORPORATION,)
NEWEGG INC.,)
REDCATS USA, INC.)
SYSTEMAX INC.,)
ZAPPOS.COM, INC.,)
REDCATS USA, L.P.,)
THE SPORTSMAN'S GUIDE, INC.,)
AND)
TIGERDIRECT, INC.,)
,	,)
Defendants.	,)

DEFENDANT'S SUBMISSON OF

JOINT PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Charge of the Court.

Did Soverain prove, by a	preponderance of t	the evidence, that Newegg direct	ly infringed the	Deleted: A.
patent claims of t	he '314 Patent and	the '492 Patent?	_	
For each claim,	answer "Yes" or "	No",		Deleted: B. Did Soverain prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Newegg induced infringement of the patent claims of the '314 Patent and the '492 Patent?¶
1			\ <u>\</u>	Deleted: in column 1A
		Direct		Deleted: If for any claim your answer
		Infringement)	\	in column 1A is "No," then for that claim you must answer "Yes" or "No"
	Claim 35:		',	in column 1B. If for any claim your answer in column 1A is "Yes," then do not answer column 1B for that claim.
	Claim 49:			Deleted: 1A
	Claim 51:			
'492 Patent:				
				Deleted: 1A
	Claim 17:			
	Claim 41:			
	Claim 61:			

Did Soverain prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Newegg directly infringed the patent claims of the '639 Patent?

For each claim, answer "Yes" or "No."

'639	Patent:

Claim 60:	
Claim 66:	
Claim 68:	
Claim 79:	

3. Did Newegg prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the patent claims of the '314 Patent, the '492 Patent, and the '639 Patent are invalid as anticipated by the prior art?

For each claim, answer "Yes" or "No."

<u>'314 Patent:</u>			Deleted: '314 P Claim 49:	atent:¶ [1]
	<u>Claim 35:</u>			([1]
	Claim 49:			
	Claim 51:			
<u>'492 Patent:</u>				
	Claim 17:	 -		
	Claim 41:			
	Claim 61:	 -		
I				
<u>'639 Patent:</u>				
	Claim 60:	 _		
	Claim 66:	 _		
	Claim 68:	 _		
	Claim 79:			

4. Did Newegg prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the patent claims of the '314 Patent, the '492 Patent, and the '639 Patent are invalid as obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the field of technology at the time the invention of that claim was made?

For each claim, answer "Yes" or "No."

<u>'314 Patent:</u>			
	Claim 35:		
	Claim 49:		
	Claim 51:		
'492 Patent:			
	Claim 17:		
	Claim 41:		
	Claim 61:		
<u>'639 Patent:</u>			
	Claim 60:		
	Claim 66:		
	Claim 68:		
	Claim 79:		
		V	 Deleted: '314 Patent:¶ Claim 34: [2]

O	U	ES	T	\mathbf{O}	N	N	O	. 5
_		_~	_		<u> </u>		\sim	

5.	Did Newegg prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the '780 patent fails to support	Deleted: 4
	the claims of the '639 patent for lack of written description, lack of enablement, and/or	
	failure to set for the best mode of practicing the invention?	

Answer "Yes" or "No."

Answer:

<u>Defendant's Submission of</u> NYI-4232317v1

Answer Question No. 6 for any patent for which you answered "Yes? for one or	Deleted: 5
more of the claims in Question No. 1, and for which you also answered "No" for the same claim in both Questions Nos. 3 and 4.	Deleted: 5
QUESTION NO. 6	
What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find should be awarded to Soverain as adequate to compensate it for the patent claims you have found to have been infringed?	Deleted: 5
'314 Patent and/or '492 Patent \$	

Answer Question No. 7, if you answered "Yes" for one or more of the claims in	Deleted: 6
Question No. 2, and for which you also answered "No" for the same claim in Questions Nos.	Deleted: both
3 and 4.	Deleted: ,
	Deleted: , or 5. and 4.
QUESTION NO. 7,	Deleted: 6
	Deleted: 6
7. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find should be awarded to Soverain as	Deleted: 6
adequate to compensate it for the patent claims you have found to have been infringed?	
1	
'639 Patent \$	
SIGNED this day of February, 2010.	
SIGNED this day of reoldary, 2010.	

JURY FOREPERSON

Page 4: [1] Deleted		Author
'314 Patent:	Claim 49:	
'492 Patent:		
	Claim 17:	
'639 Patent:		
	Claim 60:	
	Claim 68:	
Page 5: [2] Deleted		Author
'314 Patent:		
	Claim 34:	
	Claim 34: Claim 51:	
'492 Patent:		
'492 Patent:		
'492 Patent:	Claim 51:	
'492 Patent:	Claim 51: Claim 17:	
'492 Patent: '639 Patent:	Claim 51: Claim 17:	
	Claim 51: Claim 17:	
	Claim 51: Claim 17: Claim 41:	
	Claim 51: Claim 17: Claim 41: Claim 60:	